

LITIGATION ROSTER

SPECIAL TAXES

MAY 2008

Special Taxes
NEW CASES
May 2008

Case Name

Court/Case Number

NONE

CLOSED CASES
May 2008

Case Name

Court/Case Number

NONE

Please refer to the case roster for more detail regarding new and closed cases

Special Taxes
LITIGATION ROSTER
May 2008

CA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION, et al. v. CA State Water Resources Control Board, et al.

California Supreme Court Case No. S150518

Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 04CS00473

Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District Case No. C050289

Plaintiffs' Counsel

David A. Battaglia, Alan N. Bick

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Filed – 04/13/04

BOE's Counsel

Molly Mosley

BOE Attorney

Renee Carter

Issue(s): Whether the water rights fee imposed by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) enacted by the Legislature in 2003 in Senate Bill 1049 is valid ([Water Code sections 1525-1530; 1535-1541; 1550-1552; and 1560](#)).

Audit/Tax Period: 2003-2004

Amount: Unspecified

Status: This case is before the California Supreme Court and is pending scheduling of oral arguments.

CA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION, et al. v. CA State Water Resources Control Board, et al.

Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 05CS00538

Plaintiffs' Counsel

David A. Battaglia

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Filed – 01/13/05

BOE's Counsel

Molly Mosley

BOE Attorney

Renee Carter

Issue(s): Whether the water rights fee imposed by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) enacted by the Legislature in 2003 in Senate Bill 1049 is valid ([Water Code sections 1525-1530; 1535-1541; 1550-1552; and 1560](#)).

Audit/Tax Period: 2004-2005

Amount: Unspecified

Status: This case is stayed pending the decision of the California Supreme Court in *Northern California Water Association, et al. v. State Water Resources Control Board*, case number S150518.

CA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION, et al. v. CA State Water Resources Control Board, et al.

Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 06CS00651

Plaintiffs' Counsel

David A. Battaglia

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

Filed – 04/26/06

BOE's Counsel

Molly Mosley

BOE Attorney

Renee Carter

Issue(s): Whether the water rights fee imposed by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) enacted by the Legislature in 2003 in Senate Bill 1049 is valid ([Water Code sections 1525-1530; 1535-1541; 1550-1552; and 1560](#)).

Audit/Tax Period: 2005-2006

Amount: Unspecified

Status: This case is stayed pending the decision of the California Supreme Court in *Northern California Water Association, et al. v. State Water Resources Control Board*, case number S150518.

CA FARM BUREAU FEDERATION, et al. v. CA State Water Resources Control Board, et al.

Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 07CS00485

Filed – 02/11/08

Plaintiffs' Counsel

David A. Battaglia, Alan N. Bick
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP

BOE's Counsel

Molly Mosley
BOE Attorney
Renee Carter

Issue(s): Whether the water rights fee imposed by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) enacted by the Legislature in 2003 in Senate Bill 1049 is valid ([Water Code sections 1525-1530; 1535-1541; 1550-1552; and 1560](#)).

Audit/Tax Period: 2006-2007; 2007-2008

Amount: Unspecified

Status: This case is stayed pending the decision of the California Supreme Court in *Northern California Water Association, et al. v. State Water Resources Control Board*, case number S150518.

EMPLOYERS DEPOT, INC. v. The State Board of Equalization

Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 03AS05773

Filed – 10/16/03

Plaintiff's Counsel

Peter J. Celeste
Professional Law Corporation

BOE's Counsel

Amy J. Winn
BOE Attorney
Mike Llewellyn

Issue(s): Whether the requirement to pay fees into the Toxic Substances Control Account ([Health & Safety Code section 25205.6, subdivision \(c\)](#)) complies with the Administrative Procedure Act and due process.

Audit/Tax Period: 1997-2001

Amount: Unspecified

Status: Pre-trial stay pending related litigation and implementation of new regulations. Trial setting conference scheduled for July 7, 2008.

EQUILON ENTERPRISES LLC, et al. v. California State Board of Equalization

Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 05AS02406

Filed – 06/01/05

Plaintiffs' Counsel

Thomas H. Steele, Pilar M. Sansone
Morrison & Forrester LLP

BOE's Counsel

Molly Mosley
BOE Attorney
Carolee Johnstone

Issue(s): Validity of the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention fee ([Health and Safety Code sections 105275-105310](#)) as it applies to Equilon Enterprises LLC, et al.

Audit/Tax Period: 2002

Amount: \$3,910,359.10

Status: On March 4, 2008, the Superior Court for the County of Sacramento issued a decision in favor of the BOE and Department of Public Health and denied Equilon's claim for refund. Final judgment was entered on April 8, 2008-pending appeal.

GREYHOUND LINES, INC. v. California Board of Equalization

Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 07CS00054

Filed – 01/12/07

BOE's Counsel

Jeff Rich

BOE Attorney

Elizabeth Abreu

Plaintiff's Counsel

William D. Taylor, Eli R. Makus

Hanson, Bridgett, Marcus, Vlahos & Rudy, LLP

Issue(s): Whether consumption of diesel fuel used to operate air conditioning systems on buses was exempt from the diesel fuel tax ([Revenue and Taxation Code section 60501\(a\)\(4\)\(A\)](#); [Regulation 1432](#)).

Audit/Tax Period: 08/01/01-12/31/03; 01/01/04-06/30/05

Amount: \$295,583.04

Status: Trial preparation.

MORNING STAR COMPANY v. The State Board of Equalization, et al.

Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 34-2008-00005600-CU-MC-GDS

Filed – 03/06/08

BOE's Counsel

Molly Mosley

BOE Attorney

Mike Llewellyn

Plaintiff's Counsel

Brian C. Leighton, Richard Todd Luoma

Attorneys at Law

Issue(s): Whether the requirement to pay fees into the Toxic Substances Control Account ([Health & Safety Code section 25205.6, subdivision \(c\)](#)) complies with the Administrative Procedure Act and due process.

Audit/Tax Period: 01/01/03-12/31/05

Amount: \$38,698.92

Status: BOE's answer was filed May 12, 2008.

NORTHERN CA WATER ASSOCIATION, et al. v. State Water Resources Control Board, et al.

California Superior Court Case No. S150518

Filed – 12/17/03

Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 03CS01776

Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District: 03CS01776

BOE's Counsel

Molly Mosley

BOE Attorney

Renee Carter

Plaintiffs' Counsel

Stuart L. Somach, Daniel Kelly

Somach, Simmons & Dunn

Issue(s): Whether the water rights fee imposed by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) enacted by the Legislature in 2003 in Senate Bill 1049 is valid ([Water Code sections 1525-1530](#); [1535-1541](#); [1550-1552](#); and [1560](#)).

Audit/Tax Period: 2003-2004

Amount: Unspecified

Status: This case is before the California Supreme Court and is pending the scheduling of oral arguments

NORTHERN CA WATER ASSOCIATION, et al. v. State Water Resources Control Board, et al.

Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 04CS01467

Filed – 10/29/04

Plaintiffs' Counsel

Stuart L. Somach, Daniel Kelly

Somach, Simmons & Dunn

BOE's Counsel

Molly Mosley

BOE Attorney

Renee Carter

Issue(s): Whether the water rights fee imposed by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) enacted by the Legislature in 2003 in Senate Bill 1049 is valid ([Water Code sections 1525-1530; 1535-1541; 1550-1552; and 1560](#)).

Audit/Tax Period: 2004-2005

Amount: Unspecified

Status: This case is stayed pending the decision of the California Supreme Court in *Northern California Water Association, et al. v. State Water Resources Control Board*, case number S150518.

NORTHERN CA WATER ASSOCIATION, et al. v. State Water Resources Control Board, et al.

Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 05CS01488

Filed – 10/19/05

Plaintiffs' Counsel

Stuart L. Somach, Daniel Kelly

Somach, Simmons & Dunn

BOE's Counsel

Molly Mosley

BOE Attorney

Renee Carter

Issue(s): Whether the water rights fee imposed by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) enacted by the Legislature in 2003 in Senate Bill 1049 is valid ([Water Code sections 1525-1530; 1535-1541; 1550-1552; and 1560](#)).

Audit/Tax Period: 2005-2006

Amount: Unspecified

Status: This case is stayed pending the decision of the California Supreme Court in *Northern California Water Association, et al. v. State Water Resources Control Board*, case number S150518.

NORTHERN CA WATER ASSOCIATION, et al. v. State Water Resources Control Board, et al.

Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 06CS01517

Filed – 10/18/06

Plaintiffs' Counsel

Stuart L. Somach, Daniel Kelly

Somach, Simmons & Dunn

BOE's Counsel

Molly Mosley

BOE Attorney

Renee Carter

Issue(s): Whether the water rights fee imposed by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) enacted by the Legislature in 2003 in Senate Bill 1049 is valid ([Water Code sections 1525-1530; 1535-1541; 1550-1552; and 1560](#)).

Audit/Tax Period: 2006-2007

Amount: Unspecified

Status: This case is stayed pending the decision of the California Supreme Court in *Northern California Water Association, et al. v. State Water Resources Control Board*, case number S150518.

NORTHERN CA WATER ASSOCIATION, et al. v. State Water Resources Control Board, et al.

Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 34-2008-00003004-CU-WM-GDS

Filed – 02/07/08

Plaintiffs' Counsel

Stuart L. Somach, Daniel Kelly
Somach, Simmons & Dunn

BOE's Counsel

Molly Mosley
BOE Attorney
Renee Carter

Issue(s): Whether the water rights fee imposed by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) enacted by the Legislature in 2003 in Senate Bill 1049 is valid ([Water Code sections 1525-1530; 1535-1541; 1550-1552; and 1560](#)).

Audit/Tax Period: 2007-2008

Amount: Unspecified

Status: This case is stayed pending the decision of the California Supreme Court in *Northern California Water Association, et al. v. State Water Resources Control Board*, case number S150518.

PALO VERDE IRRIGATION DISTRICT v. State Water Resources Control Board, et al.

Riverside Superior Court Case No. INC 043178

Filed – 05/28/04

Plaintiff's Counsel

David R. Saunders
Clayson, Mann, Yaeger & Hansen

BOE's Counsel

Molly Mosley
BOE Attorney
Renee Carter

Issue(s): Whether the water rights fee imposed by the State Water Resources Control Board (SWRCB) enacted by the Legislature in 2003 in Senate Bill 1049 is valid ([Water Code sections 1525-1530; 1535-1541; 1550-1552; and 1560](#)).

Audit/Tax Period: 2003-2004

Amount: Unspecified

Status: This case is stayed pending the decision of the California Supreme Court in *Northern California Water Association, et al. v. State Water Resources Control Board*, case number S150518.

PARMAR, ASHOK V., et al. v. California State Board of Equalization

Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC379013

Filed – 10/11/2007

Plaintiffs' Counsel

Marty Dakessian, Aleen L. Khanjian
Dakessian & Associates, PLC

BOE's Counsel

Ron Ito
BOE Attorney
Mike Llewellyn

Issue(s): Whether the BOE issued the Notice of Determination to the correct entity and whether plaintiff intentionally evaded payment of excise taxes as a distributor defined under [Revenue and Taxation Code sections 30008 and 30009](#).

Audit/Tax Period: 12/16/93-03/08/95

Amount: \$87,647.00

Status: Trial is scheduled for October 22, 2008.

SANTA CLARA, COUNTY OF, et al. v. State Board of Equalization of California

San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CPF-06-506789

Filed – 11/15/06

Plaintiffs' Counsel

Louise H. Renne, K. Scott Dickey
Renne, Sloan, Holtzman, Sakai LLP

BOE's Counsel

Graybill-Llewellyn
BOE Attorney
Graybill-Llewellyn

Issue(s): Whether the BOE is under a mandatory duty to tax flavored malt beverages as distilled spirits under [Revenue and Taxation Code section 32451](#).

Audit/Tax Period: None

Amount: Unspecified

Status: Civil proceedings are stayed pending the rule-making process.

SILVERS, STEPHEN F., et al. v. State Board of Equalization, et al.

Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC388468

Filed – 04/04/08

Plaintiffs' Counsel

William K. Hanagami
The Hanagami Law Firm

BOE's Counsel

Mark Richelson
BOE Attorney
Renee Carter

Issue(s): Whether BOE has a duty and obligation to assess insurance taxes against Lexington Insurance Company, a Delaware Corporation and non-admitted insurer ([Insurance Code section 1760, et seq. and 1763.1](#)).

Audit/Tax Period: None

Amount: \$0.00

Status: BOE's and Hirsig's response is due June 13, 2008. Case Management Conference is scheduled for September 5, 2008.

SMILAND PAINT COMPANY, et al. v. California Department of Health Services, et al.

Sacramento Superior Court Case No. 01CS01318

Filed – 09/14/01

Plaintiffs' Counsel

William M. Smiland, William Chase Ahders
Smiland & Khachigian

BOE's Counsel

William L. Carter
BOE Attorney
Mike Llewellyn

Issue(s): Whether the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention (CLLP) fee under the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention Act of 1991 ([Health and Safety Code sections 105275–105310](#)) are properly imposed on plaintiff who never manufactured or distributed lead-based products.

Audit/Tax Period: None

Amount: \$2,400,000.00

Status: Civil proceedings are stayed pending bankruptcy. Order re dismissal set for July 11, 2008.

SMILAND PAINT COMPANY v. State Board of Equalization

Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BS103224

Filed – 05/18/06

BOE's Counsel

Brian Wesley

BOE Attorney

Anthony Epolite

Plaintiff's Counsel

William Smiland, Christopher Foster

Smiland & Khachigian

Issue(s): Whether plaintiff is exempt from assessment of the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention (CLPP) fee and whether BOE's denial of its petition for redetermination should be set aside and other relief granted to plaintiff ([Health and Safety Code sections 105275–105310](#)).

Audit/Tax Period: None

Amount: Unspecified

Status: On June 26, 2007, the trial court struck plaintiff's first cause of action for equitable relief and transferred the remaining complaint to the supervising court for reassignment to a court of law. Case is being transferred.

SPRINT COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, L.P. v. State Board of Equalization

San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-06-455982

Filed – 09/07/06

BOE's Counsel

Wang/Standen

BOE Attorney

Johnstone/Graybill

Plaintiff's Counsel

Attorney at Law

Richard N. Wiley

Issue(s): Whether the BOE appropriately applied the Emergency Telephone Users Surcharge ([Revenue and Taxation Code section 41001 et seq.](#)) to certain charges Sprint bills to its California customers.

Audit/Tax Period: 12/01/97-04/30/00

Amount: \$2,289,936.82

Status: Trial is scheduled for June 2, 2008.

TOBAR, IDALIA, et al. v. California State Franchise Tax Board, et al.

Alameda Superior Court Case No. RG06-266132

Filed – 04/26/07

BOE's Counsel

David Lew/Yiu

BOE Attorney

Jeffrey Graybill

Plaintiffs' Counsel

Attorney at Law

Robert Pellinen

Issue(s): Whether BOE properly asserted tax on sales of tobacco products against plaintiffs, who assert that it was their tenant and not them, who actually sold the products. Plaintiffs also assert that the BOE caused them damages for wrongfully levying bank accounts in an effort to collect the tax.

Audit/Tax Period: 07/01/00-01/31/02

Amount: Unspecified

Status: BOE's demurrer was sustained with leave to amend and plaintiff's did not file an amended complaint.
Order for dismissal entered April 29, 2008-pending appeal.

U.S. SMOKELESS TOBACCO BRANDS INC. v. State Board of Equalization

San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-07-463592

Plaintiff's Counsel

Amy L. Silverstein, Edwin P. Antolin
Silverstein & Pomerantz LLP

Filed – 05/22/07

BOE's Counsel

Julian O. Standen

BOE Attorney

Sharon Brady Silva

Issue(s): [Revenue & Taxation Code section 30123](#) requires distributors of tobacco products to pay an excise tax on distribution of tobacco products based on the wholesale cost of the products. Plaintiff purchased the product from an affiliated manufacturing corporation owned by the same parent company. Plaintiff contends that the taxable wholesale cost should be based on its price to purchase from the manufacturer, rather than its sales price to distributors, which it previously used to calculate the tax base.

Audit/Tax Period: 01/94-11/96

Amount: \$725,977.90

Status: On May 2, 2008, the court denied the plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment. Settlement conference is scheduled for June 2, 2008. Trial is scheduled for June 30, 2008.

ULTRAMAR, INC. v. S. Kimberly Belshe, et al.

USDC, Central Dist. CA Case No. CV 04-6468 MRP

Plaintiff's Counsel

Richard E. Nielsen
Pillsbury, Winthrop, Shaw, Pittman LLP

Filed – 08/04/04

BOE's Counsel

Elisa Wolfe

BOE Attorney

Carolee Johnstone

Issue(s): Ultramar, Inc., a paint manufacturer, contends that certain regulations issued with respect to the Childhood Lead Poisoning Prevention (CLPP) fee ([Health and Safety Code sections 105275 – 105310](#)) should be declared invalid and unconstitutional and that the BOE and Department of Public Health should be enjoined from enforcing the CLPP program and collecting and assessing the CLPP fee against Ultramar.

Audit/Tax Period: 1991-1999 and 2001

Amount: \$6,348,189.19

Status: The lawsuit was stayed by the District Court on July 14, 2005, after an abstention and sovereign immunity (11th amendment) motion was heard by the court.

SPECIAL TAXES
CLOSED CASES
LITIGATION ROSTER
May 2008

KAYSERIAN, RAFFI v. State Board of Equalization

Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC371101

Plaintiff's Counsel

Neal N. Chilingirian

Law Offices of Neal N. Chilingirian

Filed – 05/15/07

BOE's Counsel

Donald Currier

BOE Attorney

Mike Llewellyn

Issue(s): Whether the BOE properly levied plaintiff's checking account in light of a prenuptial agreement between the plaintiff and the taxpayer. The department assessed an excise tax liability against the taxpayer for unreported taxable distributions of tobacco products pursuant to [Revenue and Taxation Code section 30123, subdivision \(b\)](#).

Audit/Tax Period: 12/01/03-12/31/03

Amount: \$24,279.92

Disposition: Case settled. Dismissal was entered April 9, 2008.

DISCLAIMER

Every attempt has been made to ensure the information contained herein is valid and accurate at the time of publication. However, the tax laws are complex and subject to change. If there is a conflict between the law and the information found, decisions will be made based on the law.

Links to information on sites not maintained by the Board of Equalization are provided only as a public service. The Board is not responsible for the content and accuracy of the information on those sites.